

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,116	04/03/2001	Hidefumi Yoshida	1324.65392	1703	
7:	590 08/24/2005	08/24/2005 EXAMINER		INER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			ERDEM,	ERDEM, FAZLI	
300 South Wacker Dr., Suite 2500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60606			2826		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action YOSHIDA ET AL. 09/825,116 Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art Unit Fazli Erdem 2826 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The Apply Purple filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection FCHNOLOGY. a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection ECHNOLOGY CENTRAL 2007. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDM</u>ENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-44 and 54-78</u>. Claim(s) objected to: 4. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: _

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Claims 1-3, Yang et al. disclose liquid crystal displays containing tilted optical axis compensation films having a negative birefringence where in Figs. 1 and 7, an optical compensation lamination arrangement using tilted optical axis compensation films 76 and 72 with negative birefringence to achieve a better viewing angle characteristics is shown. Yang et al. fail to disclose the required tilts of the individual layers being in opposite directions and the required lamination structure. However, Clerc et al. disclose a cell with a double liquid crystal layer using the electrically controlled birefringence effect and process for producing a uniaxial medium with negative optical anisotropy usable in the cell where in column 11 lines 65-68 and column 12 lines 1-5 the required oppositely directed tilt directions of the individual layers are disclosed. Furthermore, Anderson et al. disclose a broadband cholesteric polarizer an an optical device employing the same where in column 9, the required lamination structure is disclosed.